

ResearchOnline@ND**University of Notre Dame Australia
ResearchOnline@ND**

Physiotherapy Conference Papers

School of Physiotherapy

2011

Treating chronic nonspecific low back pain with a sensorimotor retraining approach: An exploratory multiple-baseline study of 3 participants

Benedict M. Wand

University of Notre Dame Australia, Benedict.Wand@nd.edu.au

Neil E. O'Connell

Flavia Di Pietro

Max Bulsara

*University of Notre Dame Australia, max.bulsara@nd.edu.au*Follow this and additional works at: http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_conferencePart of the [Physical Therapy Commons](#), and the [Physiotherapy Commons](#)

This other was originally published as:

Wand, B. M., O'Connell, N. E., Di Pietro, F., & Bulsara, M. (2011). Treating chronic nonspecific low back pain with a sensorimotor retraining approach: An exploratory multiple-baseline study of 3 participants. *Australian Pain Society 31st Annual Scientific Meeting*.

This other is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at

http://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_conference/5. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.

TREATING CHRONIC NONSPECIFIC LOW BACK PAIN WITH A SENSORIMOTOR RETRAINING APPROACH: AN EXPLORATORY MULTIPLE-BASELINE STUDY OF 3 PARTICIPANTS

Benedict M. Wand¹, Neil E. O'Connell², Flavia Di Pietro³, Max Bulsara⁴

¹School of Physiotherapy, University of Notre Dame Australia, ² Department of Health Sciences and Social Care, Brunel University, UK, ³Neuroscience Research Australia, ⁴Institute of Health and Rehabilitation Research, University of Notre Dame Australia.

Background and aims: Chronic nonspecific low back pain (CNSLBP) is a common healthcare problem for which current interventions are only moderately successful [1]. There is growing evidence of extensive cortical reorganisation and perceptual disturbances which may contribute to the condition [2]. The aim of this study was to test whether a graded sensorimotor retraining program, aimed at influencing cortical representation, would reduce pain intensity, interference of pain on daily life and self reported disability.

Methods: Participants were recruited on the basis of disabling CNSLBP for at least 12 months. Exclusion criteria were nerve root pain, specific spinal pathology (eg malignancy, fracture, infection or inflammatory disease), and any contraindications to exercise. The Brief Pain Inventory was used to measure pain intensity and pain's interference with daily life. Disability was measured via the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire. Participants were assessed weekly throughout a no-treatment baseline phase, a ten week graded sensorimotor retraining programme performed both in the clinic and at home, and finally for one month after the conclusion of formal treatment (A₁-B-A₂ design). Examples of retraining tasks included graphaesthesia training and movements increasing in range.

A combined person-period data set was constructed to evaluate outcomes over time. A parametric linear mixed-model quantified the relationship between pain intensity, pain interference and disability with phase of the programme (the fixed-effect parameter).

Results: 3 participants were eligible for inclusion into the study. There was a significant difference between pre- and post-treatment values in all three outcome measures; pain intensity (mean difference 3.92 on a 0-10 point scale, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.56 to 6.27); pain interference (mean difference 4.33 on a 0-10 point scale, 95% CI 1.80 to 6.87); and disability (mean difference 9.66 on a 0-24 point scale, 95% CI 4.23 to 15.04).

Conclusion: This is the first report of a graded sensorimotor retraining programme in CNSLBP. The positive outcomes seen here, together with previous findings suggesting the effectiveness of treatments targeted at cortical function in other chronic and complex disorders [3], warrant further investigation into this approach with more robust designs.

[1] van Tulder MW, et al. 2006. Eur Spine J. 15: S64-S81.

[2] Lotze M, et al. 2007. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 9: 488-496.

[3] Moseley, GL. 2006. Neurology. 67: 2129-2134.